(1)	(2)
53.	Met-oclopramide
54.	Nalidixic Acid
55.	Promethazine Hcl
56.	Metroprolol
57.	Chlorpromazine
58.	Timolol
59.	Imipramine
60.	Povidone Iodine
61.	Cimetidine
62.	Ranitidine.

Rovision of Prices of Eigbt Bulk Drugs

4613. SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be plea-sed to state:

(a) whether ft is a fact that Gov-ernnaem have recently revised the prices of eight bulk drug$_{S;}$

!b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) whether any of them relate to the treatment of various diseases under the National Health Programme, if so which ones how this rise affects the prices of the end me-dicines required for these difiases?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILISERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (b) The Government has revised prices of the *following* 8 bulk drugs w.e.f. 27th July, 1992 :.

Name of the bulk drug	Previous price (Rs./Kg.)	Revised price (Rs./kg.)
Dextropropoxyphene (Jagson Pal)	1853.73	3345
Ampicillin Anhylerous	3341	3599
Ampicillin Sodium Starile	3653	4898
Amoxycillin Trihydrate	2922	3216
Phenitamine Maleate	726	845
Cloxacillin Sodium (oral grade)	2597	3206
Methyl Dopa	2434	3271
Ampicillin Trihydrate	2516	3098

(c) Nolle of the above bulk drugs are lisWd for the treatment of various dfceases under the National Health Programmes.

Reappointment of Officers of IDPL

4614. SHRIMATI SARALA MAH-ESHWARI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1964 given in the Rajya Sabha on 14th May, 1992 and state.-

(a) whether reappointmentsjexten-siong to the said officers were in con-travention of the orders of the Prime Minister of February, 1993; .

(b) whether ,those orders are still operative as certified by the Ministry of Industry; and

(c) whether Government propose to take a strict view of dis-respect shown by IDPL to the directives of the Prime Minister and censure all the officials involved in this impropriety?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) The guidelines issued in February, 1983 were primarily intended to curb re-appointmentlexten-sions in public sector enterprises. The IDPL Management had given re-appointmentlextension to two of its officers, namely S|Shri S. S. Suri and K. V. Pai as a special case keeping the interest of the organisation in view.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) There is no proposal to take any disciplinary action against the IDPL Management on this account.

Revision of Liability of M|s, GLAXO.

4615. SHRI ABDUL SAMAD SIDDIQUI: SHRI SUDHIR RANJAN MAJUMDAR;

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the liabilities assessed against M|s. Glaxo have revised from Rs. 37 crores to Rs. 71.78 crores and when it was communicated

(b) what were the irregularities found in the assessment of liability of Rs. 37 crores;

(c) how were these irregularities committed and the prices of bulk drugs tampered to give benefits to the company;

(d) whether it is a fact that instead of fixing • the responsibility of the concerned officers their services are being utilised even after retiremnt so as to ensure that no recovery is made-

(e) under what provision and with whose authority the concerned officer is dealing with such cases ex-post retirement; and

(f) whether it is also a fact that | besides working for Government after retirement, the concerned officer is aiso doing consultancy and advising the drug company by charging fees and if so, what step$_s$ have been ta_ ken to investigate the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Yes, Sir. It was communicated to M|s. Glaxo vide letter dated 16-11-1990.

(b) and (c) The liability against M|s. Glaxo was assessed at Rs. 37 crores due to undue benefit allowed like set-offs, inaccurate reckoning of compay's bulk drug and formulation prices in the computation of the liabilities, grant of unauthorised and inadmissible set-offs in the quantities Of production and sales and the sales value of drugs and formulations. After receipt of number t>'f complaints the tentative liability was revised to Rs. 71.78 crores. M|s. Glaxo has filed a writ petition against the notice of recovery of Rs. 71.78 crores in the Delhi High Court. The Court has granted interim stay against recovery and the hearing has not yet started. The matter is, therefore, sub-judice.

(d) and (e) Since recovery has been stayed by the Court and the matter is subjudice, there is no question of such an officer being asked to deal with this case or who could influence the recovery.

(f) No such instance has come to the notice of the Government.

Lock out of Indian Petrochemicals Corporation Limited Barodara

4616. SHRI JITENDRABHAI LABHSHANKER BHATT: "Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that lock out has been declared in I. P. C. L. Barodara;